

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/880,424		MORROW ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Marc R. Filipczyk		2161		

All Participants:

(1) Marc R. Filipczyk.

(2) Jodi Hartman.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 14:00

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-12, specifically 1, 5, 7 and 11.

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested amending claims 1 and 7 to incorporate the subject matter of claims 2 and 8 respectively, to clarify the nature of the computer software help utility. Agreement was reached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)